

## Homework 02 (due Friday, March 28)

**Directions:** Write up carefully argued solutions to the following problems. Your solution should be clear enough that it should explain to someone who does not already understand the answer why it works. You may use results from lecture and previous homeworks without proof.

## 0. Lists without Lisp! (50 points)

Define two new programs on Lists.  $\text{concat} : (\text{List}, \text{List}) \rightarrow \text{List}$  and  $\text{rev} : \text{List} \rightarrow \text{List}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{concat}([], R) &= R & \text{rev}([]) &= [] \\ \text{concat}(x :: L, R) &= x :: \text{concat}(L, R) & \text{rev}(x :: X) &= \text{concat}(\text{rev}(X), x :: []) \end{aligned}$$

In this question, you will prove that  $\text{rev}(\text{concat}(A, B)) = \text{concat}(\text{rev}(B), \text{rev}(A))$  for all lists  $A$  and  $B$ .

(a) [15 Points] Prove that  $\text{concat}$  is symmetric across  $[]$ . That is, prove that for all lists  $L$ ,

$$\text{concat}(L, []) = \text{concat}([], L)$$

(b) [15 Points] Prove that for all lists  $A, B, C$ ,  $\text{concat}$  is associative. That is:

$$\text{concat}(\text{concat}(A, B), C) = \text{concat}(A, \text{concat}(B, C))$$

(c) [20 Points] In this part, you will (finally!) prove that  $\text{rev}(\text{concat}(A, B)) = \text{concat}(\text{rev}(B), \text{rev}(A))$  for all lists  $A$  and  $B$ .

To do this, you should first let  $B$  be an arbitrary list, and then go by structural induction on  $A$ . You should find both of the previous parts useful (and you may use them as lemmas even if you haven't proven them correctly.)

## 1. Proving BST Insertion Works! (50 points)

Consider the following definition of **Tree**:

$$\mathbf{Tree} = \text{Nil} \mid \text{Tree}(\mathbf{Integer}, \mathbf{Tree}, \mathbf{Tree})$$

Then, the standard *BST insertion* function can be written as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{insert}(v, \text{Nil}) &= \text{Tree}(v, \text{Nil}, \text{Nil}) \\ \text{insert}(v, \text{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= \text{if } v < x \text{ then } \text{Tree}(x, \text{insert}(v, L), R) \text{ else } \text{Tree}(x, L, \text{insert}(v, R)) \end{aligned}$$

(a) [25 Points]

Next, define a program  $\text{less}$  which checks if an entire BST is less than a provided integer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{less}(v, \text{Nil}) &= \text{true} \\ \text{less}(v, \text{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= x < v \text{ and } \text{less}(v, L) \text{ and } \text{less}(v, R) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all trees  $T$ , if  $\text{less}(b, T)$ , and  $b > x$ , then  $\text{less}(b, \text{insert}(x, T))$ . You may assume that all elements inserted into the tree are *unique*.

In English, this means that, given an upper bound on the elements in a BST, if you insert something that meets that upper bound, it is still an upper bound.

- (b) [25 Points] Consider a similar function `greater` which can be used to establish an *lower bound* on the elements in the tree:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{greater}(v, \text{Nil}) &= \text{true} \\ \text{greater}(v, \text{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= x > v \text{ and } \text{greater}(v, L) \text{ and } \text{greater}(v, R) \end{aligned}$$

You may assume the symmetric theorem for `greater` that you proved in (a).

Now, consider a function that checks if a tree is a BST:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isBST}(\text{Nil}) &= \text{true} \\ \text{isBST}(\text{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= \text{less}(x, L) \text{ and } \text{isBST}(L) \text{ and } \text{greater}(x, R) \text{ and } \text{isBST}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Using part (a) and the symmetric theorem for `greater`, prove that for all trees  $T$ , if  $\text{isBST}(T)$ , then  $\text{isBST}(\text{insert}(x, T))$ . That is, prove that insertion into a BST preserves the BST property!