CS 13: Mathematical Foundations of Computing

Strong Induction Solutions

Proof Technique Outline

Claim: [This is the claim you want to prove] for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We go by induction on n to prove the claim. **Base Cases:** When n = 1, the claim holds, because [prove the claim for n = 1 here]. [You will probably need more than one base case! The way you figure out how many you need is the number of previous iterations you use in the Induction Step. Say there are x base cases] Induction Hypothesis: Suppose that the claim is true for all $k \leq \ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \geq x$. Induction Step: [Use the Induction Hypothesis to prove the claim for k + 1.]

Since the Base Case and Induction Step hold, the claim holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof Technique Example

Problem: Prove that if

$$a_1 = 1$$

 $a_2 = 1$
 $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + 3a_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 3$

then $a_n = \frac{1}{2}(3^{n-1} - (-1)^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution:

Claim: $a_{l-1} = \frac{1}{2}(3^{l-2} - (-1)^{l-1})$. Base Cases:

- When n = 1, the claim is true, because $a_1 = 1$.
- When n = 2, the claim is true, because $a_2 = 1$.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose that the claim is true for all $k \leq l$ such that $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq 1$, $l \geq 2$. Induction Step: By the definition of a_n (where $n \geq 3$), we have: $a_{l+1} = 2a_l + 3a_{l-1}$. Now, note that by our IH, we know that $a_l = \frac{1}{2}(3^{l-1} - (-1)^l$ and $a_{l-1} = \frac{1}{2}(3^{l-2} - (-1)^{l-1})$.

Putting these together, we have	a_{l+1}	$= 2\left(\frac{1}{2}(3^{l-1} - (-1)^{l}) + 3\left(\frac{1}{2}(3^{l-2} - (-1)^{l-1})\right)\right)$
Simplifying		$=3^{l-1}-(-1)^{l}+\frac{1}{2}\left(3^{l-1}-3(-1)^{l-1}\right).$
Combining		$= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \times 3^{l-1} + 3^{l-1} - 2(-1)^{l} - 3(-1)^{l-1} \right).$
So		$= \frac{1}{2} \left(3^l - (-1)^l (2-3) \right).$
Finally		$= \frac{1}{2} \left(3^l - (-1)^{l+1} \right)$

This is what we were trying to prove.

Several Questions/Answers

(a) What is ℓ ?

 ℓ is the largest value in the naturals that we are assuming the claim for. We are assuming $P(1), P(2), \ldots, P(\ell)$.

(b) Why is there no k in the Induction Step?

k is a variable that we are using to range from 1 to l. It is so that we can say "we are assuming P(k) for $1 \le k \le \ell$ " This is the same as saying "we are assuming $P(1), P(2), \ldots, P(\ell)$ "

- (c) How many base cases should there be? Enough to ensure that you are covering every value in the naturals. If your Induction Step assumes that $\ell \ge x$, you should have base cases for $1, \ldots, x$.
- (d) How large should ℓ be?

Large enough that everything you are talking about is well defined. For instance, in the above proof, we are saying that $a_{\ell+1} = 2a_{\ell} + 3a_{\ell-1}$ which is only true if $\ell + 1 \ge 3$ (which is the same as $\ell \ge 2$). So, you need $\ell \ge 2$.